

Risk	Contractual Mitigation	Operational Mitigation
<p><b>Unpredictable Decision-Making:</b> Agentic AI systems can make choices that deviate from expected parameters.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Service descriptions and security mechanisms must define acceptable operating boundaries.</li> <li>• Include provisions that limit actions outside predefined parameters.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create organizational policies that define acceptable boundaries.</li> <li>• Limit the APIs that enable interaction with critical systems.</li> <li>• Implement real-time monitoring systems and escalation mechanisms to identify and address deviations quickly.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Bridging the Techno-Responsibility Gap:</b> If an AI agent causes harm, liability may need to be allocated according to the delivery model.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ensure that the vendor remains responsible for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Inherent design flaws</li> <li>– Inadequate safety controls</li> <li>– Knowable risks not disclosed during procurement</li> <li>– Failures in core system architecture, or failure to implement updates or patches for known issues</li> <li>– Violations of promised performance parameters</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Define a clear and actionable acceptable use policy with which the customer remains exclusively responsible for compliance.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establish governance frameworks and conduct regular risk assessments to address shared and emergent risks.</li> <li>• Ensure cyber insurance covers risks associated with the use of agentic AI.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Greater Need for Human Oversight:</b> Agentic AI often requires ongoing monitoring to ensure compliance, avoid boundary drift, and prevent unauthorized actions.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Require vendors to build in human-in-the-loop functionality.</li> <li>• Require mechanisms for prompt human intervention or override in critical scenarios.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Designate responsible human operators to monitor, intervene, and ensure compliance with ethical and legal standards.</li> <li>• Adequately, and periodically train employees responsible for monitoring the tool.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Failure of the Intended Purpose (Interoperability):</b> Agentic systems may interface with third-party APIs or systems autonomously.</p>	<p>Mandate robust interoperability standards and vendor warranties for integration with third-party systems.</p>	<p>Test integrations periodically.</p>
<p><b>Termination Protocols:</b> Given agentic AI's autonomy, shutting it down requires more than pulling the plug. Systems must be de-integrated. However, the de-integration process cannot inhibit prompt suspension of agentic actions if issues arise.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prescribe technical, operational, and legal procedures for deactivation without disruption.</li> <li>• Address data ownership and retrieval upon termination or suspension.</li> <li>• Require the implementation of mechanisms to pause, halt, or override decisions when specific thresholds are breached.</li> </ul>	<p>Prepare a detailed termination and suspension playbook, including safe decommissioning procedures and contingency planning.</p>
<p><b>Lack of Transparency:</b> Given the black box nature of most AI tools, understanding how or why the agent performs in a certain way may be indiscernible.</p>	<p>Require explainability and auditability standards to clarify decision-making processes</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Perform regular assessment of decision-making patterns.</li> <li>• Prepare teams to work alongside autonomous systems, emphasizing collaboration and oversight.</li> </ul>